

Capital Defense Journal

Volume 4 | Issue 1 Article 2

Fall 11-1-1991

Introduction

William S. Geimer

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/wlucdj



Part of the Law Enforcement and Corrections Commons

Recommended Citation

William S. Geimer, Introduction, 4 Cap. Def. Dig. 1 (1991). Available at: https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/wlucdj/vol4/iss1/2

This Prefatory is brought to you for free and open access by the Law School Journals at Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Capital Defense Journal by an authorized editor of Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact christensena@wlu.edu.

Power, not reason, is the new currency of this Court's decisionmaking.

Final Dissent of Justice Marshall *Payne v. Tennessee*

The United States Supreme Court virtually completed its restrictive rewrite of federal habeas corpus law in *McCleskey v. Zant* and *Coleman v. Thompson*, the latter a Virginia case. A constitutional challenge to restrictive *voir dire* practices in Virginia was also turned aside in *Mu'Min v. Virginia*.

A recurring theme appears in several Supreme Court of Virginia opinions reviewed in this issue. It is the view that virtually anything unfavorable about a defendant is admissible at a capital penalty trial to show future dangerousness.

The shutdown of habeas review reemphasizes the critical importance of the trial stage. An article analyzing thirteen years of capital appellate review in Virginia makes the same point. Further, the wide open attitude of the Virginia courts toward future dangerousness evidence, and the continued questionable application of the "vileness" factor, also treated in this issue, illustrate the importance of pretrial definition and limitation of the issues. Considerable support for the defense position on these pretrial matters is to be found in the opinion of the U.S. Supreme Court in *Lankford v. Idaho*, also reviewed in this issue.

At Virginia Capital Case Clearinghouse, we stand ready to assist attorneys who are committed to thorough, competent, and vigorous representation.

William S. Geimer *Director*

		•