

Supreme Court Case Files

Lewis F. Powell Jr. Papers

10-1979

Supreme Court of Virginia v. Consumers Union of the United States, Inc.

Lewis F. Powell Jr.

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/casefiles



Part of the Civil Procedure Commons, and the Civil Rights and Discrimination Commons

Recommended Citation

Supreme Court of Virginia v. Consumers Union of the United States, Inc.. Supreme Court Case Files Collection. Box 70. Powell Papers. Lewis F. Powell Jr. Archives, Washington & Lee University School of Law, Virginia.

This Manuscript Collection is brought to you for free and open access by the Lewis F. Powell Jr. Papers at Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Supreme Court Case Files by an authorized administrator of Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact christensena@wlu.edu.

PRELIMINARY MEMORANDUM

October 12, 1979 Conf. List 1, Sheet 1

No. 79-198

Supreme Court of VA.

Appeal to DC ED VA. (Merhige, Bryan [CJ]) (Warringer, concurring and dissenting)

V.

Consumers Union of U.S., Inc.

Federal/Civil

Timely

Please see Preliminary Memorandum in No. 79-185 - Consumers Union of United States, Inc. v. Va. State Bar.

10/2/79

Sallet

op.in j.s.

Argued,	19	Assigned 19	No.79-198
Submitted,	19	Announced, 19	

SUPREME COURT OF VIRGINIA

Jen gustand Grand Also motion to dismiss or affirm. HOLD NOT YOTING Burger, Ch. J..... Brennan, J..... Stewart, J..... White, J..... Marshall, J..... V. Blackmun, J...... Powell, J.....

Stevens, J.....

MEMORANDUM

To: Mr. Justice Powell

18 February 1980

From: Gregory May

No. 79-198: Supreme Court of Virginia v. Consumers Union

The three-judge court did basically two things. First, it enjoined all defendants (Virginia State Bar, Howard Dobbins, Rothwell Lillard, The Supreme Court of Virginia, and The Hon. Lawrence I'Anson, individually and in his official capacity) from enforcing the disciplinary rule. Second, it awarded costs and attorneys' fees against The Supreme Court of Virginia and The Hon. Lawrence I'Anson in his official capacity and it refused to award costs and fees against the Virginia State Bar.

The notice of appeal in No. 79-198 is by "The Supreme Court of Virginia and the Honorable Lawrence W. I'Anson, individually, and in his official capacity as Chief Justice."

But the only liability contested, of course, is that against the Court and The Chief Justice in his official capacity. In fact,

the appellee argued in its response that The Chief Justice's appeal in his individual capacity should be dismissed.

The appellee has cross-appealed in No. 79-185, which is being held for the decision on the appeal. We do not have the papers on the cross-appeal in chambers, but it appears from the preliminary memo that the only issue is whether the Virginia State Bar ought to be liable for costs and attorneys' fees. Since you are a member of the Virginia State Bar, you would be out of the cross-appeal.

Commonwealth of Mirginia RECEIVED FEB 2 2 1980 OFFICE OF THE CLERK SUPREME COURT, U.S. OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL SUPREME COURT BUILDING MARSHALL COLEMAN HOI EAST BROAD STREET ATTORNEY GENERAL RICHMOND, VIRGINIA 23219 804-786-2071 February 21, 1980 Mr. Michael Rodak, Jr. Clerk The Supreme Court of the United States One First Street, N.E. Washington, D. C. 20543 Supreme Court of Virginia, et al. v. Consumers Union of the United States, Inc., et al. No. 79-198 Dear Mr. Rodak: In the course of oral argument in the above cause, Mr. Justice Rhenquist inquired whether The Supreme Court had pled that it was not a person within the meaning of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This letter is to bring to the Court's attention facts not addressed in counsel's answer to the question. copies are enclosed. I would appreciate your bringing this to the Court's attention. "Plaintiffs' Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law," dated May 13, 1976, filed May 14, 1976, stated at page 14, that jurisdiction was laid under 28 U.S.C. § 1343(3), and "The State Bar, as well as the individual defendants, are subject to liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983." The "Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law," dated and filed on May 13, 1976, on behalf of the "State defendants," pled at page 6, "The Supreme Court of Virginia is not a person within the purview of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Erdmann v. Stevens, 458 F.2d 1207 (2d Cir. 1972), cert. den., 409 U.S. 889 (1972)." Sincerely yours, marchall Coleman Marshall Coleman Attorney General 5/54

Mr. Michael Rodak, Jr. Page two February 21, 1980

cc:

Ms. Ellen Broadman Mr. Philip B. Kurland The Honorable Daniel R. McLeod Mr. Burt Neuborne

Enclosures

April 30, 1980

79-198 Supreme Court of Virginia v. Consumers Union

Dear Byron:

Please show on the next draft of your memorandum that I took no part in the consideration or decision of this case.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justice White

lfp/ss

Ampreme Court of the United States Washington, P. C. 20543

JUSTICE WILLIAM H. REHNQUIST

May 1, 1980

Re: No. 79-198 - Supreme Court of Virginia v. Consumers Union of the United States

Dear Byron:

Although I do not claim to have fully digested your memorandum of April 30th in this case, I have read it and find myself in substantial agreement with it. As of now, should it become an opinion of the Court, I would join it.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justice White

Supreme Court of the Anited States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE JOHN PAUL STEVENS

May 6, 1980

Re: 79-198 - Supreme Court of Virginia v. Consumers Union of the United States

Dear Byron:

Subject to possible reconsideration in the light of what others may write, I find myself at the moment persuaded by your memorandum.

Respectfully,

Mr. Justice White

JUSTICE THURGOOD MARSHALL

May 12, 1980

Re: No. 79-198 - Supreme Court of Virginia, et al.
v. Consumers Union of the United States, et al.

Dear Byron:

As of now - I am with you.

Sincerely,

T.M.

Mr. Justice White

Supreme Court of the United Lates Washington, P. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF
JUSTICE WILLIAM H. REHNQUIST

May 21, 1980

Re: No. 79-198 Supreme Court of Virginia v. Consumers Union of the United States

Dear Byron:

Please join me.

Sincerely,

1000

Mr. Justice White

4

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE JOHN PAUL STEVENS

May 23, 1980

Re: 79-198 - Supreme Court of Virginia
v. Consumers Union of the United States

Dear Byron:

Please join me.

Respectfully,

Mr. Justice White

Supreme Court of the Anited States Washington, B. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE HARRY A. BLACKMUN

May 23, 1980

Re: No. 79-198 - Supreme Court of Virginia
v. Consumers Union

Dear Byron:

I go along, and you may join me. I shall be interested in your recommendation with respect to the "held" case, No. 79-185, Consumers Union v. Virginia State Bar.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justice White

Inpreme Court of the Anited States Washington, D. C. 20543

CHAMBERS OF JUSTICE HARRY A. BLACKMUN

May 23, 1980

Re: No. 79-198 - Supreme Court of Virginia v. Consumers Union

Dear Byron:

I go along, and you may join me. I shall be interested in your recommendation with respect to the "held" case, No. 79-185, Consumers Union v. Virginia State Bar.

Sincerely,

Mr. Justice White

CHAMBERS OF THE CHIEF JUSTICE

/

May 27, 1980

RE: 79-198 - Supreme Court of Virginia
v. Consumers Union of the U.S., Inc.

Dear Byron:

I join.

Regards

Mr. Justice White

				12/60 mis		THE C. J.	
		agree week 19-185	28/11/80 Day 19/10	steles blan		W. J. B.	
				sperys agreed		7° 50	
		5/21/80 2 5/21/80		120/20 Alosh		B. R. W.	
79-198 Suj			2/11/2 27/12	alles of fresh		T. M.	5
79-198 Supreme Ct. of Va. v. Consumers						Н. А. В.	
Va. v. Co				even t/30/50		1. P. P.	
nsumers			3/21/80 5/23/80	S11/80	0	W. H. R.	6
			5/13/80	maglin 5/6/80 Sm Bla		J. P. S.	