

## Washington and Lee Law Review

Volume 38 | Issue 1 Article 1

Winter 1-1-1981

### **Table of Contents**

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/wlulr

#### **Recommended Citation**

Table of Contents, 38 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. (1981).

Available at: https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/wlulr/vol38/iss1/1

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Washington and Lee Law Review at Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington and Lee Law Review by an authorized editor of Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact <a href="mailto:chiralteristics.org/linearized-chiralteristics.org/line

# Washington and Lee Law Review

Member of the National Conference of Law Reviews

Volume XXXVIII	Winter 1981	Number 1
	CONTENTS	
ARTICLES		PAGE
	ion in Improving the Adm Innovation 	
A Comment on Firs	y, and the First Amendment National Bank v.	
	l's Bakke	
A Comment on "The R	thetoric of Powell's Bakke"	Jan Deutsch 63
A Response to "The Fakke"	Rhetoric of Powell's	James B. Whi <sup>+</sup> e 73
NOTES		
	ninant Nationality and Fedon	
Tax Breaks for Law St	tudents	92
	eutor Need Not Present	110
The NLRB and Deferr	al to Awards of Arbitration	n Panels 124
•	ty: Solving the Mystery of oducts Liability Law	•
Disqualification of a	nese Wall Defense to Vica Former Government Att	orney's
	Is It Legislation?	
Policing the Head Sho	ops: Are Bongs, Roach Clip Orug Paraphernalia?	os, Syringes,
	uggestiveness: A Means fo	•
B Reorganizations: Th	e Voting Stock Rule Revisi	ited 213

#### COMMENTS

Company Act and the Commerce Clause	231
Rummel v. Estelle: Can Non-Capital Punishment Still Be Cruel and Unusual?	243
Rawlings v. Kentucky: More on Unpoisoning the Fruit or Shall We Just Plant Another Tree?	257
Deposit Guaranty National Bank v. Roper and U.S. Parole Commission v. Geraghty: Solution for or Confusion of Class Action Mootness?	275
Income Taxation and the Calculation of Tort Damage Awards: The Ramifications of Norfolk & Western Railway v. Liepelt 2	289
Midcal Aluminum, Inc. v. California Retail Liquor Dealers Association: Federal Power Under the Twenty-First Amendment	302

Printed by Western Newspaper Publishing Co., Inc., 537 East Ohio Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46204

STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP, MANAGEMENT AND CIRCULATION as required by Act of Congress of August 24, 1912, as amended by the Act of March 3, 1933, July 2, 1946, and June 11, 1960: The Washington and Lee Law Review is owned by Washington and Lee University and is entered as second class matter at the Post Office in Lexington, Virginia 24450, with additional mailing privileges in Indianapolis, Indiana. Steven M. Johnson is the Editor-in-Chief and Henry Darnell Lewis is the Managing Editor. Their address is Washington and Lee Law Review, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, Virginia 24450. There are no bond, mortgage, or other security holders. The average number of copies of each issue for the preceding twelve months was 1542.

The Washington and Lee Law Review is published quarterly by the Washington and Lee University School of Law in Lexington, Virginia 24450. Subscription prices, payable in advance, are \$15.00 per Volume (four issues). Individual copies are \$5.50 for the Fourth Circuit Review issue and \$5.00 for each other issue. All requests for subscriptions should be mailed to the Washington and Lee Law Review, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, Virginia 24450. Subscriptions will be continued automatically unless a written request for discontinuance is received. Copies of back issues through Volume XXXVI may be obtained from Fred B. Rothman & Co., 10368 West Centennial Road, Littleton, Colorado 80123. Copies of issues from Volumes XXXVII and XXXVIII may be purchased directly from the Washington and Lee Law Review (Prices for Volume XXXVII: Full Volume—\$12.00; individual issues other than Fourth Circuit Review—\$4.00; Fourth Circuit Review issue—\$4.50.)

The materials published in the *Review* state the views of the writers and not necessarily of the *Review*, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein.