



Winter 1-1-1975

Table Of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/wlulr>

Recommended Citation

Table Of Contents, 32 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. (1975).

Available at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/wlulr/vol32/iss1/1>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Washington and Lee Law Review at Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington and Lee Law Review by an authorized editor of Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact christensena@wlu.edu.

Washington and Lee Law Review

Member of the National and Southern Law Review Conferences

Volume XXXII

Winter 1975

Number 1

CONTENTS

PAGE

DEDICATION

Ross L. Malone *Honorable Lewis F. Powell, Jr.* 1

ARTICLES

The Inflation Crunch and Relief for Government Contractors
Under Public Law 85-804 *Richard C. Johnson* 5

The 1974 Diplomatic Conference on the Law of War:
A Victory for Political Causes and a Return to the
"Just War" Concept of the Eleventh Century
..... *David E. Graham* 25

Amended Article 1 of Draft Protocol I to the 1949
Geneva Conventions: The Coming of Age of the
Guerrilla *James E. Bond* 65

Address—Summary Prejudgment Creditors' Remedies and
Due Process of Law: Continuing Uncertainty after
Mitchell v. W.T. Grant Co. ... *Roy L. Steinheimer, Jr.* 79

NOTES AND COMMENTS*

The Development of a Flexible Duty Standard of Liability
under SEC Rule 10b-5 99

The Eleventh Amendment Does Not Bar an Award of
Attorney's Fees Based on the Private Attorney
General Theory 133

Cable Television and Copyright: Can the States Protect
the Broadcasters? 163

Restraint of Agency Proceedings under the Freedom of Information Act	191
Reorganization of Savings and Loan Associations Under Section 368—A Return to the “Continuity of Interest” Test	215
Hearsay and Confrontation: Can the Criminal Defendant’s Rights Be Preserved under a Bifurcated Standard?	243
<i>Kahn v. Shevin</i> and the “Heightened Rationality Test”: Is the Supreme Court Promoting a Double Standard in Sex Discrimination Cases?	275

*The following note was not published because of page limitations, but is available upon request:

Eligibility of Families to Receive AFDC Funds for the Unborn: Good Intentions and Bad Law, by Tom P. O’Dell.

© 1974 by the School of Law, Washington and Lee University

Published four times a year, in the Winter, Spring, Summer and Fall, by the School of Law, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, Virginia 24450. Second class postage paid at Lexington, Virginia 24450, with additional mailing privileges at Atlanta, Georgia 30310.

Subscription prices, payable in advance, are \$12.00 per Volume (four issues) or \$4.50 for the annual Fourth Circuit Review issue. Individual copies are \$4.50 for the Fourth Circuit Review issue and \$4.00 for each other issue. All requests for subscriptions should be mailed to the Washington and Lee Law Review, Washington and Lee School of Law, Lexington, Virginia 24450. Subscriptions will be continued automatically unless written request for discontinuance is received. Copies of back issues through Volume XXIX may be obtained from Fred B. Rothman & Co., 57 Leuning Street, South Hackensack, New Jersey 07606.

The materials published in the *Review* state the views of the writers and not necessarily of the *Review*, which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein.