

**Powell Speeches** 

Lewis F. Powell Jr. Papers

12-28-1964

## Legal Education for Professional Responsibility

Lewis F. Powell Jr.

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/powellspeeches



Part of the Legal Ethics and Professional Responsibility Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

Lewis F. Powell Jr. Papers, box 115/folder 12

This Speech is brought to you for free and open access by the Lewis F. Powell Jr. Papers at Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Powell Speeches by an authorized administrator of Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact christensena@wlu.edu.

Association of American Law Schools Chicago, Illinois December 28, 1964 Lewis F. Powell, Jr.

## LEGAL EDUCATION FOR PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

Responsible leaders of the bar have long been interested in the content and quality of legal education. The future of the profession depends on this I to therefore perhaps understandable - if not entirely forgiveable - that the practicing bar should so frequently volunteer opinions and advice to our brothers in the teaching branch.

I am not exactly a volunteer, as wornof eager to leave I would rather have remained in Virginia during Christmas week. Yet, I did

Welcome Pres Richie's inistation welcome President Ritchie's invitation.

As he knows, I have had a personal interest in legal education for many years and almost joined your ranks. I greatly respect and admire what the law schools have accomplished in educating for professional competency.

I will address myself this afternoon to one area in which you are on the
threshold of even greater accomplishment.
This is the changing concept of legal
education, with its increased emphasis
on professional responsibility. I do
not suggest that I can shed any new light
for this enlightened audience. But the
subject has special appeal to me, and I
would like to share my thoughts with you.

First, let us toke a hurried look

First, let us take a hurried look at the development of legal education. The history of attitudes in this country/ towards training for the bar is a fascinating one. Prior to the Revolution, no formal education of lawyers was available in America. In several of the colonies, notably Virginia and South Carolina, the more ambitious young men studied in the English Inns of Court. Indeed, it is reported that in the decade before the Revolution, there were more than 100 Americans studying law in England.\* But even the american

<sup>\*</sup>Pound, The Lawyer from Antiquity to Modern Times, p.157. Twenty-five of the signers of the Declaration of Independence were lawyers and so were 31 of the 55 members of the Constitutional Convention, p. 178.

But, even the American who "read law" in the office of a practitioner at home, was likely to have had a collect education. Indeed, the bar of the colonies in the generation of the Revolution, was, for the most part, well educated generally, and well qualified to practice law as a result of English training or law office apprenticeship. The leadership which brought success in the Revolution and the genius which produced our form of government/came, in major part, from the brilliant bar of the colonies.

The first formal provision for the teaching of law in America was at William and Mary in 1779, when a professorship

of law and police

of "law and police" was established and George Wythe was appointed to this chair. No other professor of law in the history of our country has produced more distinguished pupils than those who studied under Wythe. These included John Marshall, James Monroe, Henry Clay, Edmund Randolph, Spencer Roane, John Breckenridge, Philip Pendleton Barbour and many others who played key roles in the development of the new republic. Wythe's most famous pupil was Thomas Jefferson, who served a long and fruitful apprenticeship in Wythe's law office.

This bar, during and immediately following the Revolution, had a remarkable sense of public duty and professional

responsibility.

responsibility. It also enjoyed the respect and confidence of the informed public.

But the story of much of the 19th Century is different. During the first half of that century there was a loss of prestige and influence by lawyers and a notable breaking down of the requirements for the education and professional training of lawyers. In the year 1800, fourteen of the nineteen states (or organized territories) prescribed some standards of education or training for admission to the bar. In 1860, just prior to the Civil War, only nine of the then 39 American jurisdictions/imposed any requirements for admission to the

practice of law.

practice of law. The prevailing view was that expressed by the following provision from the Constitution of Indiana:

"Every person/of good moral character/who is a voter/is entitled to practice law in any of the courts of this state."\*

At least this had the virtue of simplicity. It eliminated the necessity for law schools, apprenticeships or bar examinations of any kind. The practice of law was deemed to be a "natural right"/which every citizen could enjoy. There was obviously little concern for the right, notural or otherwise,

<sup>\*</sup>Article VII, Sec.21, Indiana Constitution of 1850. See Pound, supra, p. 226

rights, natural or otherwise, of those whom these unlettered "lawyers" presumed to represent.

The public attitude which produced this deprofessionalizing of lawyers was characteristic of the political philosophy of the first half of the 19th Century. The public was suspicious of "monopolies" and of "privileged classes". The influences of Jacksonian democracy were strong and undiscriminating. While perhaps beneficial in other respects, this philosophy produced what Dean Pound has called an "era of decadence" for the legal profession, which adversely

affected the adm, of justice

affected the administration of justice throughout the country.\*

A change in attitude became discernable after the Civil War, and this gained momentum with the formation of bar organizations and an increasing number of law schools. The first resolution adopted at the first meeting of the American Bar Association in 1878 related to the need for higher standards of admission to the practice of law.

Maked, the first formal section of the ABA

<sup>\*</sup>Pound, supra, Charter VIII, p. 232.
Although generally an "era of decadence"
this period also produced some of the
greatest lawyers in American history (for
example: Marshall, Kent, Story, Shaw,
Webster and Choat).

Indeed, the first formal Section of the ABA was that of Legal Education and Admissions to the Bar, established in 1893. This Association (of American Law Schools) was formed seven years later in 1900.

The renaissance of legal education was well underway by the nineteen-twenties Under the leadership of Elihu Root, the American Bar Association in 1921 went on record as favoring significantly higher standards for admission to the bar, including three years of full time study in law school or its equivalent.\* The

following year,

<sup>\*</sup>The resolutions adopted at the 1921 meeting of the ABA, upon recommendation of the Section of Legal Education, have been referred to by Dean Albert Harno as "the most articulate and positive action on legal education in America."

following year (1922), at a national conference in Washington of all elements of the profession, the standards were approved and steps taken to implement them. This was a notable milestone, and resulted in the inspection and accrediting of law schools and the establishment of standards of legal education on the basis of which the right of accreditation would be determined.\*

In the intervening years since the twenties, standards both for the accrediting of aw schools and admission to the bar have been raised significantly. Striking improvement has been made in \*Malone, Our First Responsibility, 47 ABAJ 1023 (1959).

the quolity of legal education.

Increasing attention has also been directed to pre-law school education, with a growing realization that a broad cultural background, rooted in the classics and liberal arts, is preferable to pre-law school emphasis on the "bread and butter" commerce and business type of courses.

Another major development, largely since World War II, has been the recognition by the legal profession that postadmission, continuing legal education is almost as essential as formal law school training.\* The bars of some 27 states

now employ

<sup>\*</sup>Report, Continuing Legal Education for Professional Competency and Responsibility Arden House Conference (1958), sponsored by the American Law Institute and the American Bar Association.

on an organized vasiv

now employ administrators who are responsible for regular organized continuing legal education training of lawyers

It can now fairly be said, in the mid-1960's, that we have come "full circle" since the prevailing view of a century ago. The practice of law today is no longer the natural right of any citizen - unless he is willing and able successfully to subject himself to one of the most exacting of all professional educational programs. Indeed, there are many who think, as I do, that the American law school has no equal /in any other country in the training for

professional competency.

professional competency.

And it hardly need be said that the competency of practicing lawyers is distinctly a matter of public concern. The private practice of law is a form of public service. The American system of freedom under law depends uniquely upon both the integrity and competency of lawyers and judges. This is as true in the successful functioning of our free enterprise system as it is in the preservation of individual liberties guaranteed by the Bill of Rights.

It is generally recognized that the lawyer is essential to the administration of justice under our adversary

system in the courts.

an soul

system in the courts. It is equally true, although not so widely recognized, that in America the lawyer is often "the one indispensable adviser of every responsible policy maker", whether in government or business. \* In view of this key role, it is obvious that the training of a lawyer merely to a high level of professional competency is not enough. There must also be an understanding of a lawyer's professional responsibility and a willingness faithfully to discharge this responsibility.

Within the last decade,

<sup>\*</sup>Stone, Legal Education and Public Responsibility, published by Association of American Law Schools (1959), p. 20. This book reports on a notable conference on this subject held at the University of Colorado in 1956.

Within the last decade, both
the law schools and the organized bar
have given a great deal of thought as
to how best to produce the broadly
educated lawyers who will be concerned
less with the making of money than with
discharging their professional responsibilities.\*

One of the difficulties has been defining, for purposes of education, the scope of professional responsibility. As the very concept of professional responsibility has been an evolving one, it is not surprising that agreement

/ upon a conferebeuriel definition /

<sup>\*</sup>Dean Pound has warned of "the trade spirit of emphasis on wages rather than the professional one of emphasis on pursuit of a challenge in the spirit of public service." Pound, supra, p. 360.

upon a comprehensive definition is difficult.\*

Some of the generally recognized areas of a lawyer's responsibility may be summarized as follows:

Ist. Responsibilities in the actual practice - to the client, the opposing lawyer and the judge.

2nd. Responsibilities in the areas closely related to the actual practice itself, such as the administration of justice, selection and tenure of judges, judicial reform in both procedural and substantive law, and

<sup>\*</sup>Countryman & Herwitz, Implementation of Education for Professional Responsibility, paper prepared for Second Arden House Conference (December 1963), p. 5.

ranke high among there 8.

the assuring of representation of all persons, including the impoverished and the unpopular.

3rd. Responsibilities to the legal profession through active participation in the work of the organized bar.

bar.

member org. of mp. of the organized

4th. Responsibilities to render some public service, whether it be part time, temporary or permanent service; and whether it be on governmental boards or commissions, or in the legislative or executive branches of government.

5th. Responsibilities where the law itself must expand to meet the dramatic changes of our age. These should include, for example, efforts to

extend international

extend international law and to meet the need for new legal concepts - such as law of outer space.

6th. Responsibilities to provide

leadership generally at the community leve
/such as service on educational and charitable boards and leadership in creating a
restrained and informed public opinion.\*

\*The foregoing summary of professional responsibilities follows largely the Report of the 1958 Arden House Conference, supra, p. 18. Generally similar (although differing in considerable detail) was the statement of the lawyer's professional responsibility in the 1958 Report of the Joint Conference on Professional Responsibility by the Association of American Law Schools and the American Bar Association, 44 ABAJ 1159 (1958); also printed in Report of Arden House Conference, supra, pp. 185-204. See also Report on Second Arden House Conference, p. 31 et seq.

a brooder, and indeed more fundamental responsibilly of lawyers,

A broader and indeed more fundamental responsibility of lawyers /implicit in those just summarized -/is found in the first stated object of the American Bar Association, namely: the duty to "uphold and defend the Constitution of the United States and maintain representative government."\* This includes, of course, the overriding duty of every lawyer - in the discharge both of his private and public responsibilities - to uphold and defend our judicial institutions and to promote respect for law and its processes.

The mere listing or categorizing of these responsibilities indicates how \*ABA Constitution Article 1.

defficult it is

them in a law school curriculum, or in courses of continuing legal education.

Obviously, the full sweep of these responsibilities and all of their ramifications could not possibly be taught. A lawyer's consciousness and understanding of many of these derive, naturally and properly, from his own sense of duty and a recognition of his privileged position in society.

It is also quite true that in every law school, incidentally and often unconsciously, there is an instilling of professional responsibility in students in the teaching of the named substantive and procedural courses. There is a great opportunity here for the teacher, who

sense of the vest.

himself has a deep sense of the responsibilities of the legal profession, to impart this to his pupils - by precept as well as by exposition. This technique has something been called the "pervasive method" of teaching ethics and professional responsibility. It will always remain one of the most efficacious methods.

Most law schools now have specific courses on legal ethics, although in far too many schools this remains an elective subject.\*

\*A report by the Committee of the Association of American Law Schools on Education for Professional Responsibility, filed at the annual meeting of that Association in Dec. 1958, disclosed that only 64 out of the 110 then accredited law schools offered a course directed primarily to "professional ethics and responsibility." Malone, supra, 45 ABAJ 1083. But see

study of American Bar Foundation, showing

marked improvement by 1962-63, infra.

There is a need, which your Association has been a leader in necognizing, for broader courses dealing specifically with the professional responsibilities of a lawyer. Happily, the need for such courses is gaining increased recognition in our law schools and in the profession generally. Views continue to differ as to whether there should be a separate course on the legal profession itself (as many schools now have) or whether the traditional ethics course should be expanded to include professional responsibility.\*

a survey

<sup>\*</sup>In 1960 the National Council of Legal Clinics was formed as a joint project of the American Bar Association, the Association of American Law Schools and the National Legal Aid and Defender Association to improve education on legal ethics and professional responsibility. With an \$800,000 grant from the Ford Foundation, this Council is working now on an experimental program with some 15 law schools.

A survey by the American Bar Foundation of law school instruction for the school year 1962-63 indicated that 77% of the approved law schools offered some formal training in professional responsibility, and in most of these schools the course is required for graduation.\* Although these are often combined courses on ethics and responsibility and the emphasis and quality necessarily vary considerably, it is distinctly encouraging to observe this trend in American legal education.

The aBa

<sup>\*</sup>See Legal Ethics and Professional Responsibility, published by the American Bar Foundation (1963), p. II. See also Legal Ethics & Professional Responsibility, supra, 1963, p. 3. See also Countryman & Herwitz, supra, p. 2.

The ABA has recently initiated a thorough review of the adequacy and effectiveness of the present Canons of Professional Ethics. As remarkably flexible and useful as the Canons have proved to be, the time has come to reconsider them in light of striking changes in the practice of law and role of lawyers since the Canons were adopted in 1908. They also require reexamination in view of the increasing recognition of the professional respons bilities of the bar.

But the Canons are not an end in themselves. Their purpose is to encourage/and help maintain/a level of ethical conduct appropriate for a

leaned profession.

learned profession. In view of the nature and responsibilities of the legal profession, its ethical and moral standards must in fact measure up to our highest ideals.\*

The instilling of this level
of moral and ethical awareness must
commence as early as possible. Indeed,
there are some who think that the
teaching of ethical principles at the
law school level is useless because
by that time the prior training and
\*Judge George Sharswood, in his famous

<sup>\*</sup>Judge George Sharswood, in his famous treatise on Professional Ethics, said: "There is no profession in which moral character is so soon fixed as in that of the law; there is none in which it is subjected to severer scrutiny by the public." Sharswood, Professional Ethics, 1854, p. 168-169.

environment of the student have predetermined his character and attitudes. But happily this degree of pessimism has been rejected by a great majority of our law schools. In my view, the importance of serious and scholarly treatment of legal ethics in the law schools can hardly be over emphasized.

The ethical standards of our profession can also be improved by stricter admission policies - both by the law schools and by the authorities who admit to the bar. It seems to me that the law schools themselves, in the proper discharge of their own public responsibilities, must give

increasingly careful

increasingly careful attention to the moral and character qualifications of applicants. The obvious difficulties in making evaluations in this delicate area should not preclude a conscious effort to eliminate applicants whose records suggest the absence of the character qualifications so essential to being a lawyer.

Perhaps I have said enough to indicate my own conviction that professional responsibility, in the broadest sense - which includes ethics is of equal importance to professional competency. The one without the other is not merely incomplete - it may indeed be dangerous to society.

The associations
of au Low
Schools

The Association of American Law Schools has demonstrated thoughtful concern in this area. In commending you for this, / I also assure you of the continued and warm support of the American Bar Association - a support based upon the conviction that the strength of our profession depends in major part upon the basic unity of its three great branches - those who practice, those who judge and those who teach the law.

Association of American Law Schools Chicago, Illinois December 28, 1964 Lewis F. Powell, Jr.

## LEGAL EDUCATION FOR PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY

Responsible leaders of the bar have long been interested in the content and quality of legal education. The future of the profession depends on this. It is therefore perhaps understandable - if not entirely forgiveable - that the practicing bar should so frequently volunteer opinions and advice to our brothers in the teaching branch.

I am not exactly a volunteer, as I would rather have remained in Virginia during Christmas week. Yet, I did welcome President Ritchie's invitation. As he knows, I have had a personal interest in legal education for many years - and almost joined your ranks. I greatly respect and admire what the law schools have accomplished in educating for professional competency.

I will address myself this afternoon: to one area in which you are on the threshold of even greater

accomplishment. This is the changing concept of legal education, with its increased emphasis on professional responsibility. I do not suggest that I can shed any new light for this enlightened audience. But the subject has special appeal to me, and I would like to share my thoughts with you.

First, let us take a hurried look at the development of legal education. The history of attitudes in this country towards training for the bar is a fascinating one. Prior to the Revolution no formal education of lawyers was available in America. In several of the colonies, notably Virginia and South Carolina, the more ambitious young men studied in the English Inns of Court. Indeed, it is reported that in the decade before the Revolution, there were more than 100 Americans studying law in England.\*

<sup>\*</sup>Pound, The Lawyer from Antiquity to Modern Times, p. 157. Twenty-five of the signers of the Declaration of Independence were lawyers and so were 31 of the 55 members of the Constitutional Convention, p. 178.

But even the American who "read law" in the office of a practitioner at home, was likely to have had a college education. Indeed, the bar of the colonies in the generation of the Revolution was for the most part well educated generally, and well qualified to practice law as a result of English training or law office apprenticeship. The leadership which brought success in the Revolution and the genius which produced our form of government came, in major part, from the brilliant bar of the colonies.

The first formal provision for the teaching of law in America was at William and Mary in 1779, when a professorship of "law and police" was established and George Wythe was appointed to this chair. No other professor of law in the history of our country has produced more distinguished pupils than those who studied under Wythe. These included John Marshall, James Monroe, Henry Clay, Edmund Randolph, Spencer Roane, John Breckenridge, Philip Pendleton Barbour and many others

who played key roles in the development of the new republic. Wythe's most famous pupil was Thomas Jefferson, who served a long and fruitful apprenticeship in Wythe's law office.

This bar, during and immediately following the Revolution, had a remarkable sense of public duty and professional responsibility. It also enjoyed the respect and confidence of the informed public.

But the story of much of the 19th Century is different. During the first half of that century there was a loss of prestige and influence by lawyers and a notable breaking down of the requirements for the education and professional training of lawyers. In the year 1800, fourteen of the nineteen states (or organized terriroties) prescribed some standards of education or training for admission to the bar. In 1860, just prior to the Civil War, only nine of the then 39 American jurisdictions imposed any requirements for admission to the practice of law. The prevailing view was that

expressed by the following provision from the Constitution of Indiana:

"Every person of good moral character who is a voter is entitled to practice law in any of the courts of this state."\*

At least this had the virtue of simplicity. It eliminated the necessity for law schools, apprenticeships or bar examinations of any kind. The practice of law was deemed to be a "natural right" which every citizen could enjoy. There was obviously little concern for the rights, natural or otherwise, of those whom these unlettered "lawyers" presumed to represent.

The public attitude which produced this deprofessionalizing of lawyers was characteristic of the political philosophy of the first half of the 19th Century. The public was suspicious of "monopolies" and of "privileged classes". The influences of Jacksonian democracy were strong and undiscriminating. While perhaps beneficial in other respects, this philosophy \*Article VII, Sec. 21, Indiana Constitution of 1850. See Pound, supra, p. 226

produced what Dean Pound has called an "era of decadence" for the legal profession which adversely affected the administration of justice throughout the country.\*

A change in attitude became discernable after the Civil War, and this gained momentum with the formation of bar organizations and an increasing number of law schools. The first resolution adopted at the first meeting of the American Bar Association in 1878 related to the need for higher standards of admission to the practice of law. Indeed, the first formal Section of the ABA was that of Legal Education and Admissions to the Bar, established in 1893. This Association (of American Law Schools) was formed seven years later in 1900.

The renaissance of legal education was well underway by the nineteen-twenties. Under the leadership of Elihu Root, the American Bar Association in 1921 went

<sup>\*</sup>Pound, supra, Charter VIII, p. 232. Although generally an "era of decadence" this period also produced some of the greatest lawyers in American history (for example: Marshall, Kent, Story, Shaw, Webster and Choat).

on record as favoring significantly higher standards for admission to the bar, including three years of full time study in law school or its equivalent.\* The following year (1922), at a national conference in Washington of all elements of the profession, the standards were approved and steps taken to implement them. This was a notable milestone, and resulted in the inspection and accrediting of law schools and the establishment of standards of legal education on the basis of which the right of accreditation would be determined.\*\*

In the intervening years since the twenties, standards both for the accrediting of law schools and admission to the bar have been raised significantly.

Striking improvement has been made in the quality and depth of legal education. Increasing attention has also been directed to pre-law school education, with a growing

<sup>\*</sup>The resolutions adopted at the 1921 meeting of the ABA, upon recommendation of the Section of Legal Education, have been referred to by Dean Albert Harno as "the most articulate and positive action on legal education in America." \*\*Malone, Our First Responsibility, 47 ABAJ 1023 (1959).

realization that a broad cultural background, rooted in the classics and liberal arts, is preferable to pre-law school emphasis on the "bread and butter" commerce and business type of courses.

Another major development, largely since World War II, has been the recognition by the legal profession that post-admission, continuing legal education, is almost as essential as formal law school training.\* The bars of some 27 states now employ administrators who are responsible for regular, organized continuing legal education training of lawyers.

It can now fairly be said, in the mid-1960's, that we have come "full circle" since the prevailing view of a century ago. The practice of law today is no longer the natural right of any citizen - unless he is willing and able successfully to subject himself to one of the most exacting of all professional educational

<sup>\*</sup>Report, Continuing Legal Education for Professional Competency and Responsibility, Arden House Conference (1958), sponsored by the American Law Institute and the American Bar Association.

programs. Indeed, there are many who think, as I do, that the American law school has no equal in any other country in the training for professional competency.

And it hardly need be said that the competency of practicing lawyers is distinctly a matter of public concern. The private practice of law is a form of public service. The American system of freedom under law depends uniquely upon both the integrity and competency of lawyers and judges. This is as true in the successful functioning of our free enterprise system as it is in the preservation of individual liberties guaranteed by the Bill of Rights.

It is generally recognized that the lawyer is essential to the administration of justice under our adversary system in the courts. It is equally true, although not so widely recognized, that in America the lawyer is often "the one indispensable adviser of every responsible policy maker", whether in government or business.\* In view of this key role, it is obvious that

<sup>\*</sup>Stone, <u>Legal Education and Public Responsibility</u>, published by Association of American Law Schools (1959), p. 20. This book reports on a notable conference on this subject held at the University of Colorado in 1956.

the training of a lawyer merely to a high level of professional competency is not enough. There must also be an understanding of a lawyer's professional responsibility and a willingness faithfully to discharge this responsibility.

Within the last decade, both the law schools and the organized bar have given a great deal of thought as to how best to produce the broadly educated lawyers who will be concerned less with the making of money than with discharging their professional responsibilities.\*

One of the difficulties has been defining, for purposes of education, the scope of professional responsibility. As the very concept of professional responsibility has been an evolving one, it is not surprising that agreement upon a comprehensive definition is difficult.\*\*

Some of the generally recognized areas of a lawyer's responsibility may be summarized as follows:

<sup>\*</sup>Dean Pound has warned of "the trade spirit of emphasis on wages rather than the professional one of emphasis on pursuit of a challenge in the spirit of public service."

Pound, supra, p. 360

\*\*Countryman & Herwitz, Implementation of Education for Professional Responsibility, paper prepared for Second Arden House Conference (December 1963), p. 5.

1st. Responsibilities in the actual practice to the client, the opposing lawyer and the judge.

2nd. Responsibilities in the areas closely related to the actual practice itself, such as the administration of justice, selection and tenure of judges, judicial reform in both procedural and substantive law, and the assuring of representation of all persons, including the impoverished and the unpopular.

3rd. Responsibilities to the legal profession through active participation in the work of the organized bar.

4th Responsibilities to render some public service, whether it be part time, temporary or permanent service; and whether it be on governmental boards or commissions, or in the legislative or executive branches of government.

5th. Responsibilities where the law itself must expand to meet the dramatic changes of our age.

These would include, for example, efforts to extend

international law and to meet the need for new legal concepts - such as law of outer space.

6th. Responsibilities to provide leadership generally at the community level, such as service on educational and charitable boards and leadership in creating a restrained and informed public opinion.\*

A broader and indeed more fundamental responsibility of lawyers - implicit in those just summarized - is found in the first stated object of the American Bar Association, namely: the duty to "uphold and defend the Constitution of the United States and maintain representative government."\*\* This includes, of course, the overriding duty of every lawyer - in the discharge both of his private and public responsibilities - to

<sup>\*</sup>The foregoing summary of professional responsibilities follows largely the Report of the 1958 Arden House Conference, supra, p. 18. Generally similar (although differing in considerable detail) was the statement of the lawyer's professional responsibility in the 1958 Report of the Joint Conference on Professional Responsibility by the Association of American Law Schools and the American Bar Association, 44 ABAJ 1159 (1958); also printed in Report of Arden House Conference, supra, pp. 185-204. See also Report on Second Arden House Conference, p. 31 et seq. \*\*ABA Constitution Article I.

uphold and defend our judicial institutions and to promote respect for the law and its processes.

The mere listing or categorizing of these responsibilities indicates how difficult it is to think of including them in a law school curriculum, or in courses of continuing legal education. Obviously, the full sweep of these responsibilities and all of their ramifications could not possibly be taught. A lawyer's consciousness and understanding of many of these derive, naturally and properly, from his own sense of duty and a recognition of his privileged position in society.

It is also quite true that in every law school, incidentally and often unconsciously, there is an instilling of professional responsibility in students in the teaching of the normal substantive and procedural courses. There is a great opportunity here for the teacher, who himself has a deep sense of the responsibilities of the legal profession, to impart this to his pupils - by precept as well as by exposition. This

method" of teaching ethics and professional responsibility. It will always remain one of the most efficacious methods.

Most law schools now have specific courses on legal ethics, although in far too many schools this remains an elective subject.\*

There is a need, which your Association has been a leader in recognizing, for broader courses dealing specifically with the professional responsibilities of a lawyer. Happily, the need for such courses is gaining increased recognition in our law schools and in the profession generally. Views continue to differ as to

<sup>\*</sup>A report by the Committee of the Association of American Law Schools on Education for Professional Responsibility, filed at the annual meeting of that Association in Dec. 1958, disclosed that only 64 out of the 110 then accredited law schools offered a course directed primarily to "professional ethics and responsibility." Malone, <a href="mailto:supra">supra</a>, 45 ABAJ 1083. But see study of American Bar Foundation showing marked improvement by 1962-63, <a href="mailto:infra">infra</a>.

as to whether there should be a separate course on
the legal profession itself (as many schools now have)
or whether the traditional ethics course should be
expanded to include professional responsibility.\*

A survey by the American Bar Foundation of
law school instruction for the school year 1962-63 indicated that 77% of the approved law schools offered
some formal training in professional responsibility,
and in most of these schools the course is required for
graduation.\*\* Although these are often combined courses
on ethics and responsibility and the emphasis and quality
necessarily vary considerably, it is distinctly encouraging to observe this trend in American legal education.

<sup>\*</sup>In 1960 the National Council of Legal Clinics was formed as a joint project of the American Bar Association, the Association of American Law Schools and the National Legal Aid and Defender Association to improve education on legal ethics and professional responsibility. With an \$800,000 grant from the Ford Foundation, this Council is working now on an experimental program with some 15 law schools.

\*\*See, Legal Ethics and Professional Responsibility, published by the American Bar Foundation (1963), p. 11. See also Legal Ethics & Professional Responsibility, supra, 1963, p. 3. See also Countryman & Herwitz, supra, p. 2

The ABA has recently initiated a thorough review of the adequacy and effectiveness of the present Canons of Professional Ethics. As remarkably flexible and useful as the Canons have proved to be, the time has come to reconsider them in light of striking changes in the practice of law and role of lawyers since the Canons were adopted in 1908. They also require re-examination in view of the increasing recognition of the professional responsibilities of the bar.

But the Canons are not an end in themselves.

Their purpose is to encourage and help maintain a level of ethical conduct appropriate for a learned profession.

In view of the nature and responsibilities of the legal profession, its ethical and moral standards must in fact measure up to our highest ideals.\*

The instilling of this level of moral and ethical awareness must commence as early as possible.

<sup>\*</sup>Judge George Sharswood, in his famous treatise on Professional Ethics, said: "There is no profession in which moral character is so soon fixed as in that of the law; there is none in which it is subjected to severer scrutiny by the public." Sharswood, Professional Ethics, 1854, p. 168-169.

Indeed, there are some who think that the teaching of ethical principles at the law school level is useless because by that time the prior training and environment of the student have predetermined his character and attitudes. But happily this degree of pessimism has been rejected by a great majority of our law schools. In my view, the importance of serious and scholarly treatment of legal ethics in the law schools can hardly be overemphasized.

The ethical standards of our profession can also be improved by stricter admission policies - both by the law schools and by the authorities who admit to the bar. It seems to me that the law schools themselves, in the proper discharge of their own public responsibilities, must give increasingly careful attention to the moral and character qualifications of applicants. The obvious difficulties in making evaluations in this delicate area should not preclude a conscious effort to eliminate applicants whose records suggest the absence of the

character qualifications so essential to being a lawyer.

Perhaps I have said enough to indicate my own conviction that professional responsibility, in the boradest sense - which includes ethics - is of equal importance to professional competency. The one without the other is not merely incomplete - it may indeed be dangerous to society.

The Association of American Law Schools has demonstrated a thoughtful concern in this area. In commending you for this, I also assure you of the continued and warm support of the American Bar Association - a support based upon the conviction that the strength of our profession depends in major part upon the basic unity of its three great branches - those who practice, those who judge, and those who teach the law.