



Summer 6-1-1993

Table Of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/wlulr>

Recommended Citation

Table Of Contents, 50 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. (1993).

Available at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/wlulr/vol50/iss3/1>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Washington and Lee Law Review at Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington and Lee Law Review by an authorized editor of Washington and Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact christensena@wlu.edu.

WASHINGTON AND LEE LAW REVIEW

Volume 50

Summer 1993

Number 3

CONTENTS

ANNUAL REVIEW OF SECURITIES AND COMMODITIES LAW

1993 ANNUAL SECURITIES LECTURE

- ACCOUNTING AND THE NEW CORPORATE
LAW*Joel Seligman* 943

COMMENTARY

- THOUGHTS EVOKED BY "ACCOUNTING
AND THE NEW CORPORATE LAW"*Ted J. Fiflis* 959

LEAD ARTICLES

- ACTIVIST SHAREHOLDERS, CORPORATE DIRECTORS,
AND INSTITUTIONAL INVESTMENT: SOME
LESSONS FROM THE ROBBER BARONS*Allen D. Boyer* 977

- THE SUPREME COURT'S LITERALISM AND
THE DEFINITION OF "SECURITY" IN
THE STATE COURTS*Douglas M. Branson and
Karl Shumpei Okamoto* 1043

- DISCLOSURE OF ENVIRONMENTAL LIABILITIES
UNDER THE SECURITIES LAWS: THE
POTENTIAL OF SECURITIES-MARKET-
BASED INCENTIVES FOR POLLUTION
CONTROL.....*Perry E. Wallace* 1093

NOTES

- AN ANALYSIS OF THE VIRGINIA WILLS
ACT FORMALITIES AND THE NEED
FOR A DISPENSING POWER STATUTE
IN VIRGINIA*Kelly A. Hardin* 1145

COOPER V. DUPNIK: CIVIL LIABILITY FOR UNCONSTITUTIONAL INTERROGATIONS	<i>Julie E. Hawkins</i>	1191
ERASING THE LAW: THE IMPLICATIONS OF SETTLEMENTS CONDITIONED UPON VACATUR OR REVERSAL OF JUDGMENTS	<i>Michael W. Loudenslager</i>	1229
SPECIFIC PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND THE “ARISE FROM OR RELATE TO” REQUIREMENT . . . WHAT DOES IT MEAN?	<i>Mark M. Maloney</i>	1265
“STALK TALK”: A FIRST LOOK AT ANTI-STALKING LEGISLATION	<i>Robert N. Miller</i>	1303
THE EVER-CHANGING BALANCE OF POWER IN INTERSTATE WATER POLLUTION: DO AFFECTED STATES HAVE ANYTHING TO SAY AFTER ARKANSAS V. OKLAHOMA?	<i>Mary A. Stilts</i>	1341