

Summer 6-1-1980

Table of Contents

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/wlulr>

Recommended Citation

Table of Contents, 37 Wash. & Lee L. Rev. (1980), <https://scholarlycommons.law.wlu.edu/wlulr/vol37/iss3/1>

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Washington and Lee Law Review at Washington & Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Washington and Lee Law Review by an authorized editor of Washington & Lee University School of Law Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact lawref@wlu.edu.

Washington and Lee Law Review

Member of the National Conference of Law Reviews

Volume XXXVII

Summer 1980

Number 3

ANNUAL REVIEW OF SECURITIES AND COMMODITIES LAW

CONTENTS

	PAGE
ARTICLES	
Toward the Uncertificated Security: A Congressional Lead for States to Follow <i>Egon Guttman</i>	717
A Guide to the Investigative and Enforcement Provisions of the Uniform Securities Act <i>Joseph C. Long</i>	739
Implied Private Rights of Action and the Federal Securities Laws: A Historical Perspective <i>John A. Maher</i>	783
Employee Plan Trading in Commodity Futures <i>Thomas A. Russo</i> <i>Stephan G. Bachelder</i> <i>& Bronislaw E. Grala</i>	811
NOTES	
Section 17(a) of the '33 Act: Defining the Scope of Antifraud Protection	859
<i>United States v. Naftalin</i>	859
<i>Implied Private Rights of Action Under Section 17(a)</i>	866
Rule 10b-5: The Circuits Debate the Exclusivity of Remedies, the Purchaser-Seller Requirement, and Constructive Deception	877
<i>Rule 10b-5 and Section 18: The Conflict Between Express and Implied Remedies</i>	878
<i>Pledges of Securities After Blue Chip</i>	888
<i>Constructive Deception Under Rule 10b-5</i>	896
What is a Tender Offer?	908
Tender Offers: Standing to Sue, Prohibited Practices, Reliance of Non-Tenderer	930
<i>Standing to Sue Under the Williams Act</i>	932
<i>Prohibited Tender Offer Practices</i>	938

<i>Reliance Requirement for a Non-Tendering Shareholder</i>	949
Conflicts of Interest: The Chinese Wall and Bank Financing of Hostile Tender Offers	953
Freezeout Merger Regulation: An SEC Rule Joins	
State Efforts	964
<i>Delaware Developments</i>	965
<i>Federal Regulation</i>	978
Recent Developments in Commodities Law	986
<i>What is a Commodity?</i>	986
<i>Implied Private Rights of Action Under the Commodities Acts</i>	997
<i>Proving Fraud Under the Commodities Acts</i>	1016
<i>Emergency Power of the Commodity Futures Trading Commission</i>	1024

STATEMENT OF OWNERSHIP AS REQUIRED BY Act of Congress of August 24, 1912, as amended by the Act of March 3, 1933, July 2, 1946, and June 11, 1960: The Washington and Lee Law Review is owned by Washington and Lee University and is entered as second class matter at the Post Office in Lexington, Virginia 24450, with additional mailing privileges in Atlanta, Georgia 30304. Thomas B. Henson is the Editor-in-Chief and Gretchen C.F. Shappert is the Managing Editor. Their address is Washington and Lee Law Review Office, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, Virginia 24450. There are no bond, mortgage, or other security holders. The average number of copies of each issue for the preceding twelve months was 1432.

The Washington and Lee Law Review is published quarterly by the School of Law, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, Virginia 24450. Subscription prices, payable in advance, are \$12.00 per Volume (four issues). Individual copies are \$4.50 for the Fourth Circuit Review issue and \$4.00 for each other issue. All requests for subscriptions should be mailed to the Washington and Lee Law Review, Washington and Lee University, Lexington, Virginia 24450. Subscriptions will be continued automatically unless a written request for discontinuance is received. Copies of back issues through Volume XXXV may be obtained from Fred B. Rothman & Co., 10368 West Centennial Road, Littleton, Colorado 80123. Copies of issues from Volumes XXXVI and XXXVII may be purchased directly from the Washington and Lee Law Review.

The materials published in the Review state the views of the writers and not necessarily of the Review which takes no responsibility for any statement made herein.